AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION	N/MODIFICATION	OF CONTRACT	1. C	ONTRACT ID	CODE	PAGE OF PAGE	GES
						1	1
2. AMENDMENT NO.:	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURC	L HASE R	EQ. NO.	PROJECT	NO. (If applicable	<u> </u>
						` ''	,
0002	15 Nov 05						
6. ISSUED BY CODE		7. ADMINISTERED B	Y:		CODE	E1P0100	
Department of the Army Baltimore District, Corps of		Contracting Division, Cor CENAB-CT-A	ntracts E	Branch			
Engineers Contracting Division P.O. Box 1715		10 S. Howard Street. Ro)			
Baltimore MD 21203-1715		Baltimore, MD 21203-17	15	T			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (N	o., street, county, State a	nd ZIP Code)	(x)	9A. AMEND	MENT OF SO	OLICITATION NO).
			X	W912DR-	05-R-0050)	
			^		(SEE ITEM 1	11)	
				25 Octob			
				ORDER NO.		CONTRACT/	
				10B. DATED) (SEE ITEM	13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE						
11. TH	IS ITEM ONLY APPLIES	TO AMENDMENTS OF SC	LICITA	TIONS			
The above numbered solicitation is amended	as set forth in Item 14. Ti	he hour and date specified f	or recei	ot of Offers is 9	9 December	2005 at 4:00pm.	
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prio	r to the hour and date specific	ed in the solicitation or as amen	ded, by c	ne of the followir	ng methods:	·	
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to	the solicitation and amendme	ent numbers. FAILURE OF YOU	UR ACKI	NOWLEDGMEN [*]	T TO BE RECE	EIVED AT THE PLA	CE
DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR you desire to change an offer already submitted, such ch							ent
amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour an		ann on local, provided eden teleg	,	and mande refer		nonation and time	
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA	A (If required)						
13. THIS IT IT MOD	EM APPLIES ONLY TO I	MODIFICATIONS OF CONT ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBE	RACTS ED IN IT	S/ORDERS, EM 14.			
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PUF ORDER No. ITEM 10A	RSUANT TO: (Specify au	nthority) THE CHANGES SE	ET FOR	TH IN ITEM 14	ARE MADE	IN THE CONTR	ACT
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN IT	ORDER IS MODIFIED TO EM 14, PURSUANT TO	REFLECT THE ADMINIST THE AUTHORITY OF FAR4	TRATIV 3.103(b	E CHANGES (such as char	nges in paying off	fice,
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT I							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is requ _copies to the issuing office.	ired to sign this documen	t and return					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICA	ATION (Organized by UC	F section headings, includin	g solicit	ation/contract	subject matte	er where feasible.)
Design/Build Military Amputee Training	ng Center, Walter R	eed Army Medical Co	enter,	Main Secti	on, Washi	ington, DC	
1. Amendment 0001 dated 9 Nov 05,							
2. Delete Section 00100 in the solicita3. Attached is the attendance sheet f				DMATION	ONI V		
4. Requests for Information (RFI's):					ONL1.		
Except as provided herein, all terms and condition	ns of the document refere	nced in Item 9A or 10A, as h	neretofo	re changed, re	mains uncha	anged and in full f	orce
and effect 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	nt)	16A. NAME AND TITLE		ITPACTING C	SEICED (TV	ne or print)	
13A. NAINE AND TITLE OF STONER (Type of pin	n)	TOA. NAME AND THEE	01 001	TITACIIIO C	7 FIGER (Ty	pe or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES O	OF AME	RICA	1	6C. DATE SIGNI	ED
		BY					
(signature of person authorized to sign) NSN 7540-01-152-8070		(Signature of	Contra			 :	
PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE	3	0-105		SIANDARD	FORM 30 (F	ĸ⊨v. 10-83)	

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83) Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

SECTION 00100 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

1. PROPOSAL SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

- 1.1 In response to the solicitation the offerors are required to submit technical and cost proposals in accordance with the instructions herein. It is the intent of the solicitation to seek proposals from qualified offerors. The successful offeror will be selected based on the "Best Value" to the Government. Proposals will be evaluated on their own merit based upon the criteria listed herein, which are technical and price with technical being approximately equal to price.
- 1.2 SOURCE SELECTION: This source selection may result in award being made to a higher rated, higher priced offeror where the decision is consistent with the evaluation factors and where it is deemed by the Source Selection Authority that the technical superiority, overall business approach, and/or the past performance of the higher priced offer outweighs the benefits of any price difference. The Source Selection Authority, using sound business judgement, will base the source selection decision on a trade-off analysis of the proposals submitted in response to this solicitation in accordance with the evaluation factors established for this solicitation.
- 1.3 Each offeror is required to submit its proposal consisting of the following volumes:

Volume I – Technical Proposal (3 copies plus original) Volume II – Cost Proposal (3 copies plus original) Volume III – Subcontracting Plan (2 copies plus original)

1.4 Proposal envelopes will be marked:

Date of Opening:
Time of Opening:
Proposal for: W912DR-05-R-0050

1.5 Page limits: The following page limits shall apply, which do not include title sheets, indices, tables of content, schedules, Past Performance Data, or cover sheets:

Volume I – Technical Proposal: 75 pages, maximum. The page count does not include Past Performance Data, Sketches or Drawings, Indices, Title Page or Separation Pages.

Volume II – Cost/Price Proposal (Section 00010, Price Breakdown Information, and Section 00600, Representation and Certifications)

Volume III – Subcontracting Plan – No Page Limit

A page printed on both sides will be counted as two pages. Minimum font size shall be 12 points. Page size shall be 8½" x 11". Minimal margins are 1" all around. Foldouts may be used

and are limited to 11" x 17", but must be limited to 10 pages. Each fold out page will be counted as one page, but will count as two pages if printed on both sides.

1.6 Offerors shall submit their proposals to the US Army Corps of Engineers, 10 S. Howard St., Suite 7000, Baltimore, MD 21203-1715 no later than the time and date specified on Standard Form 1442, Block 13.

2. EVALUATION PROCESS

Price will not be scored.

- 2.1 Proposal Compliance Review. This review will assure that all required forms and certifications are complete and that the technical and price proposals have been received.
- 2.2 Technical Qualifications. Technical proposals will be evaluated based upon the following factors:

QUALIFICATIONS OF THE DESIGN TEAM: ARCHITECT/ENGINEER FACTOR 1 FIRM QUALIFICATIONS FACTOR 2 QUALIFICATIONS OF THE CONSTRUCTION TEAM: GENERAL **CONTRACTOR RELEVANT EXPERIENCE AND PAST PERFORMANCE:** FACTOR 3. DIAGRAMS, ELEVATIONS, AND SITE PLAN: Deleted: 1 FACTOR 4. NARRATIVES: Deleted: 2 FACTOR 5. COMPLIANCE

- 2.3 Price. The Government will evaluate price separate from the technical/quality evaluation.
- 2.4 Trade-off Analysis: After all above evaluations are complete, the Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB) will then consider all factors and included items to determine which offeror has the proposal that represents the best value to the Government. The Government intends to award a contract to the offeror offering the most advantageous proposal to the Government considering that all the Technical Evaluation Factors are approximately equal to price.
- 2.5 Offerors are advised that it is the intent of the Government that an award will be made without discussions. However, the Government reserves the right to hold discussions if it determines that discussions are necessary. Therefore, proposals should be submitted on the most favorable terms which the offeror can submit to the Government. Do not assume you will be contacted or afforded an opportunity to clarify, discuss, or revise your proposal.
- 2.6 Offerors submitting proposals for this project should limit submissions to data essential for evaluation of proposals. However, in order to be effectively and equitably evaluated, the proposals must include information sufficiently detailed to clearly describe the offeror's experience, technical approach and management capabilities to successfully complete the project. Requirements stated in this Request for Proposal (RFP) are minimums, unless otherwise stated. Innovative, creative or cost-saving proposals that meet or exceed these requirements are encouraged and will be rated accordingly. The offeror shall clearly identify and articulate in

writing all deviations, betterments, innovative, creative or cost saving proposals by major feature of work

2.7 All proposal materials shall be submitted in binders with a table of contents and tabbed section dividers. The sections shall parallel the submission requirements identified.

3. VOLUME I - TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS:

3. VOLUME I – TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS:

3.1 QUALIFICATIONS OF THE DESIGN TEAM:

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER FIRM QUALIFICATIONS

The offeror shall demonstrate recent and relevant experience of the proposed design team members through submission of SF 330 forms or professional resumes. The experience and qualifications will be evaluated based on this criteria: The Architectural-Engineering (A-E) firm, as a minimum, should have satisfactory experience in the design of medical facilities, hospitals, rehabilitation centers or clinics. The A-E firm should have completed three such projects with a construction value of \$8,000,000 or greater within the last five years.

3.2 QUALIFICATIONS OF THE CONSTRUCTION TEAM:

GENERAL CONTRACTOR RELEVANT EXPERIENCE AND PAST PERFORMANCE: It is necessary for the offeror to demonstrate that the firm has recent and relevant experience that is comparable to the work required in this RFP. As a result, it is necessary for the offeror to provide the performance evaluations on a minimum of three projects that are relevant in size, complexity, related and physically completed within the past five years. These projects must be of a relative size and complexity that are similar to the project in this solicitation. These projects shall have been satisfactorily completed. The offeror must provide references for each of these projects to include as a minimum the information as indicated on the form provided at the end of Section 00100. If performance ratings are not available, then documentation from project owners describing the offerors management capabilities, quality control, scheduling and safety history for a similar project will suffice.

The technical evaluation team may contact the owner or authorized representative of the offeror's projects references. The Government may also use other tools such as CCASS ratings to gather information regarding an offeror's qualifications and past experience.

3.3. DIAGRAMS, ELEVATIONS, AND SITE PLAN:

Site plan(s) to include at a minimum: Existing buildings, pads, landscaping, walls, and structures; Placement of new buildings and structures; Site entrance(s), access roads, parking, walks, etc.; Dimensioned setbacks and clearances, including; Existing utilities, both active and abandoned, and proposed connections; Landscaping massing and characteristics; Circulation flow of staff, visitors, emergency traffic, service traffic, parking supplies delivery and waste disposal. Site Analysis over current topography survey of site. Consider orientation, terrain, tree cover, soil characteristics, drainage, land use patterns and adjacent land uses, views and historical context. Demolition drawings, with photographs to depict conditions where required. Plans showing major circulation paths in and around the facility.

Floor Plans. Floor plans for each floor showing all programmed spaces, corridors, structural grid system (including columns), electrical and mechanical equipment rooms, and stairs/elevators/escalators to meet the functional requirements. All spaces must be labeled with the room name, the room code from the Program For Design (PFD), and the programmed and designed net areas.

Elevations. Exterior elevations and one major building section. To assure Post or Base compatibility, observe and document the physical features of the site and the character/style of any surrounding building(s).

3.4 NARRATIVES:

The following narratives are to be submitted to discuss the concept to be proposed. Preliminary and final design solutions are to be presented by the successful offeror in Design After Award. The Government will not consider or evaluate any design solutions proposed or submitted.

3.4.1. Comprehensive Narratives. Discuss the design criteria to be used in accordance with UFC 4-510-1, Appendix B, paragraph B.2.8, and paragraph 2.2.1 of this document, and as modified herein. Civil Design Narrative. Discuss the overall civil design for the project site including storm water management. UFC 4-510-1 can be accessed via the web @ address http://hfpa.otsg.amedd.army.mil/refs/1191/UFC-4-510-01.pdf

3.4.2. Architectural Narrative. Address the overall architectural concept including: exterior wall systems and finish materials being considered, interior wall system and finish materials considered, acoustics, base architectural plan, floor-to-floor heights, proposed roofing materials, slope(s), styles, energy conservation features, life safety, and fire protection features, and Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards (UFAS) compliance.

3.2.2.1. Discuss design intent, overall architectural concept, architectural style and compatibility of proposed building with surrounding structures

3.42.2 Gross Area Tabulation. Provide gross area tabulation of floor area, along with a small scale, single-line, dimensioned key plan, to reflect the total space required in Appendix B, Figure 2-5 format.

3.42.3. Net Area Tabulation. Provide net area tabulations, including net to gross calculations, in Appendix B, Figure 2-6 format.

3.4.2.4. Net-to-Gross Area Conversion Summary. Provide net-to-gross area conversion summary comparing the as-designed condition with the applicable net-to-gross square feet allowances in Appendix B, Figure 2-8.

3.43. Structural Narrative. The structural system selected shall be the one which best combines economy and suitability regarding functionality, design systems, space configuration, architectural features and seismic resistance configuration for the project. Discuss the basis for system selection for this project.

Deleted: 2

Deleted: 2

Deleted: 2

√ Deleted: ¶

Deleted: 2

Deleted: Discuss the interior finishes to be used on this project

Deleted: .¶

Deleted: 2

Deleted: 2

	3.4.4. Seismic Narrative. Summarize through discussion the seismic design considerations including "I" and "K" values and the level of protection required.	 Deleted: 2
Ì	3.4.5. Discuss how natural day lighting is being incorporated into the design. Heating, Ventilating, Air Conditioning (HVAC) Narrative. Describe the HVAC systems and space and weather protection requirements.	 Deleted: 2
	3.4.6. Plumbing Narrative. Discuss design considerations for the various plumbing systems,	 Deleted: 2
	including domestic hot and cold water, <u>steam</u> , <u>sanitary waste</u> , and <u>storm drains</u> . <u>Discuss water</u> supply, quality, required storage, and distribution systems.	 Deleted: fuel gas
	3.4.7. Electrical Narrative. Discuss design considerations and space requirements for electrical systems. Describe the preliminary design for: power and lighting to include primary power service, transformers, power distribution centers and distribution systems to be used throughout the new facility, alternate power sources, lighting systems (to include exit and egress lighting), grounding system, corrosion control, and special requirements for selected areas.	 Deleted: 2
	3.4.8. Communication Narrative. Describe design considerations and space requirements for the following: telephone, intercom, paging, mass notification system, public address, televisions, data communication, and security systems, and uninterruptible power supply (UPS). Narrative describing all communications systems in detail. Include purpose, operating features, configuration, procurement responsibility and proposed location of each system.	 Deleted: 2
	3.4.8.1. Locate and size all communications rooms per UFC 4-510-01, Section 11: Communications and ANSI/EIA/TIA569. Rooms should be located away from both electrical and mechanical rooms. Rooms should be located in a manner so that they are central to the areas they serve.	 Deleted: 2
	3.49. Accessibility Narrative. Indicate compliance with Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards (UFAS) and Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG) for site and building. Identify additional scope requirements, if any, for UFAS/ADAAG compliance in Net Area Tabulation.	 Deleted: 2
	3.4.10. Fire Protection Narrative. Address the following: type of construction, fire resistance of materials, fire rating of structure, occupancy classification, fire detection, alarm, and suppression systems, and other required systems. Describe occupancy separations per NFPA 101 and UFC 3-600-01 criteria.	 Deleted: 2
	3.4.11. Physical Security Narrative. Summarize the physical security system(s) design parameters and the major features of the design.	 Deleted: 2
l	3.4.12. Anti-Terrorism Force Protection (ATFP) Narrative. Discuss siting and design	 Deleted: 2
•	considerations, and any space requirements for antiterrorism force protection systems. Describe ATFP design features to be included in design.	

3.4.14. Commissioning Narrative. Describe systems to be included in the commissioning plan and overall commissioning process.

Deleted: 2

A. A brief description of the design process to include the Offeror's expectation of the Government's role during the design process. The Offeror shall specify at which stage of the design process it will consider the plans locked against further uncompensated changes.

B. Schedule: The Government's preferred project delivery performance period for occupancy is 540 calendar days from Notice To Proceed but not more than 720 calendar days from Notice To Proceed. The offeror shall submit a narrative description of the schedule. Offerors are required to describe and discuss rationale, assumptions and thought process used in generating the schedule to support project delivery performance. The contractor shall address and discuss the following as part of the narrative: DC Department of Health review and approval process for the Storm Water Management Permit, National Capital Planning Commission/Commission of Fine Arts/State Historical Preservation Office review and approval process, Government design compliance reviews, geotechnical performance periods, design development performance period, market influence on skilled labor and resourcing and procurement of materials. Offerors are requested to also describe how they might reduce the overall review and acquisition times by aligning trades with cognizant disciplines and by offering specific details on the intended equipment and vendors at the design review stage. The schedule shall consider both the design and construction phases and be presented in sufficient detail to address key design and construction activities and milestones. Proposed fast tracking shall also be clearly indicated. The proposed Occupancy Date will become the contractual completion date. Liquidated Damages will be assessed from this date. Time extension for Government caused delays or Government requested changes will be negotiated on and fair and equitable basis.

3.5. COMPLIANCE

Deleted: 3

Compliance Statement: The Offeror is required to certify that all items submitted in the technical proposal comply with the RFP requirements and any differences, deviations or exceptions must be stated and explained in this section. Offerors are required to complete the statement and submit it with their technical proposal. Even if there are no differences, deviations or exceptions, the Offeror must submit the Compliance Statement stating that no differences, deviations or exceptions exist.

Statement of Compliance:

This offeror hereby certifies that all items submitted in this proposal and final design documents (after contract award) comply with the solicitation requirements. The criteria specified in Solicitation No. are binding contract criteria and in case of any conflict after award, between and the contractor's proposal, the solicitation criteria shall govern unless there is a written and signed agreement between the contractor and the Government waiving a specific requirement. Should this proposal result in the award of a contract, this statement will be included on each drawing sheet and on the cover of the specifications.

4. VOLUME II – PRICE PROPOSAL

- 4.1 Price The offeror shall submit Standard Form 1442, Form 36 (Proposal Bid Schedule), and the Cost Breakdown Sheet in an original only. Both of these forms are included in Section 00010 of this solicitation.
- 4.2 Representations and Certifications The offeror shall submit one completed original of Section 00600, Representations and Certifications.

5. VOLUME III - SUBCONTRACTING PLAN

The offeror shall submit a subcontracting plan in accordance with the provision entitled "Subcontracting Plan Submission", found in this Section. Large Business offerors shall submit a subcontracting plan in accordance with Contract Clauses 52.219-8 and 52.219-9. To be acceptable, plans must adequately address the six required statutory elements and provide sufficient information to enable the Contracting Officer to answer affirmatively questions A through H of Appendix DD, Part 2, AFARS 19.705. You may use the attached sample Subcontracting Plan. Percentage goals apply to the total amount being subcontracted. The current goals for the Baltimore District are 40% to Small Business, 15% to Small Disadvantaged Business and 8% to Woman-Owned Small Business, 2% Hubzone Small Business and 3% for Veteran-Owned Small Business. Place the original subcontracting plan in a separate envelope.

Deleted: CC

6. EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

6.0. EVALUATION CRITERIA:

6.1 QUALIFICATIONS OF THE DESIGN TEAM: ARCHITECT/ENGINEER FIRM RELEVANT EXPERIENCE:

The offeror will be considered meeting the criteria for selection that has experience in the design of similar facilities. The offeror should have completed three such projects with a construction value of \$8,000,000 or greater within the last five years.

The offeror will be given favorable consideration if they demonstrate extensive experience in the design of medical facilities, hospitals, rehabilitation centers or clinics

The Government considers the submissions of projects that are relevant in size, complexity, related, and completed, as meeting the minimum qualifications of this RFP. Proposals that do not contain documentation of past owner evaluations will be given "below average" or "unacceptable" ratings for this element. The Government may use other tools such as ACASS to assess the contractor's past performance.

6.2 QUALIFICATIONS OF THE CONSTRUCTION TEAM:

GENERAL CONTRACTOR RELEVANT EXPERIENCE AND PAST PERFORMANCE:
The offeror must submit information on the provided sheets that demonstrates that they have the required relevant experience and satisfactory performance on a minimum of four projects completed within the last five years. The Government considers that submissions of projects that are relevant in size, complexity, related and completed medical facilities, hospitals, rehabilitation centers or clinics as meeting the minimum qualifications of this RFP ... Also required as a minimum is the submission of performance ratings with a minimum level of satisfactory

Deleted: FACTORS 1 and 2 will be evaluated using at least the following:

Favorable consideration will be given for performance ratings that exceed a "satisfactory" level of performance. Favorable consideration will also be given for those prime construction contractors that have teamed with the proposed A/E contractor on more than one previous project. Further consideration will also be given for projects rated satisfactory or higher in the Corps of Engineers CCASS rating system.

6.3. – DIAGRAMS, ELEVATIONS, AND SITE PLAN:

The offeror will be considered meeting this factor by utilizing the gross square footage of 30,280 for the Building, 687 square feet for the connecting corridor and 460 square feet for alterations to Bldg 2 in the design in accordance with the program for design. Offerors whose submission under utilizes or exceeds the allotted gross square footage may not be considered favorably. Consideration will be given to submissions that are compatible with the aesthetics of existing buildings and are complimentary of it. Consideration will also be given for the arrangement grouping of the tenants that keep them effective, efficient and complimentary. Consideration will also be given for the site plan that incorporates an efficient footprint Submissions of others drawings and sketches that depict technical solutions, i.e. mechanical/electrical and solutions or calculations, structural solutions or calculations, etcetera will not be rated

Deleted: 1 Deleted: FACTOR 1 Deleted: 29,690 Deleted:

Deleted: 1,326

Deleted: .

Deleted: exceeds the

Deleted:

6.4 – PROJECT NARRATIVE:

The offeror will meet this factor by providing the information in Paragraph 3.2 above. Consideration will be given for a "Contractor – Government" partnership that allows flexibility and freedom to change while in transitioning from the presented design to the locked design. Favorable consideration will be given to offerors who can achieve project delivery closer to 540 calendar days. The schedule shall be considered meeting the criteria by submitting a reasonable and obtainable Occupancy Date. Consideration will also be given by considering the design and construction phases in sufficient detail addressing key design and construction activities and milestones. Additional consideration will be given for realistic fast tracking.

Deleted: 2

Deleted: FACTOR 2

6.5. FACTOR – COMPLIANCE

The Compliance Statement will be rated on a Pass/Fail basis.

7. ORAL PRESENTATIONS:

A. The offeror will address the following items in an oral presentation:

1. The offeror will make an oral presentation of FACTORS 3.3 and 3.4 above on the proposed design and construction of the Military Amputee Training Center as a Medical Transitional Structure. The presentation will address the items of concerns and solutions to problematical criteria.

Deleted: 1
Deleted: 2

- 2. Price will not be discussed as part of the oral presentation.
- B. Presentation Time:
- 1. Each offerors will be allotted sixty (60) minutes to make their presentation, followed by an allotted twenty (20) minutes to answer any questions which the technical committee may wish to ask regarding the proposal. This allotted time will be for this presentation and is not intended to be used for discussions as defined by FAR 15.306(d).

2. The offeror will be notified as to the time and date for oral presentations. The location of the oral presentations will be at the City Crescent Building, 10 S. Howard St., Baltimore, MD 21201.

C. Presentations:

- 1. The presentation shall be conducted by the proposed Project Manager and the Lead Architect-Engineer of the team. They may supplement the presentation with three additional representatives of the offeror's proposed team.
- 2. The offeror may choose to make the presentation on the media of their choice. However, the offeror may not use video to substitute for their oral presentation. Handouts shall be provided at the time of the Oral Presentation. The presentation may be video taped by the Government at the time of presentation.

D. Purpose:

The purpose of the oral presentation is to allow the evaluation team to better understand the submitted information in FACTORS 3.3 and 3.4

Oral presentations may have a determination on the final ratings for FACTORS 3.3 and 3.4

Deleted: 1	
Deleted: 2	
Deleted: 1	
Deleted: 2.	

PRIME CONTRACTOR/SUBCONTRACTOR EXPERIENCE

Company name
Name of Project/Location
General Scope of Project and Relevance to this project
Owner of the Project:
(Note: If Government Contract, give Contract No. and Contracting Office)
Owner's P.O.C. to include Name, Address and Phone
(Note: If Government Contract, give name of Contracting Officer)
Role (prime, joint venture, subcontractor) and work your company self-performed on this contract, and number of years in this role:
Construction Contract Value at Award:
Construction Contract Value at Completion:
Contract Performance Period at Award
Contract Peformance Period at Occupancy

Contract Time Growth resulting from User/Client Requested Changes

Extent and type of work you subcontracted out by percentage		
Original Contract Duration:		
Original Contract Duration; Final Contract Duration:		
Customer Satisfaction: (List Awards, if received)		
Lost time accidents and Safety Rating:		
Success in Commissioning Electrical, Mechanical and LAN Systems:		
Overall Rating for Quality Control and Timeliness of Completion:		

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

US Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District

SUBJECT W912DR-05-R-0050 DB MATC INSTALLATION WRAMC

DATE 08 Nov 05 PAGE / OF 3

NAME AND ORGANIZATION	OFFICE AND LOCATION (CITY, STATE, ZIP)	TELEPHONE, FAX AND E-MAIL ADDRESS
JEFF TAYLOR ILBR	1550 Wilson BLUD ARington	301-252-5152
/	22209	
		Jeff. TAY/OR 1 @Halliburton.
PANI SIDIK	16 C. SUMMIT WE	201 963 5000
CONCIET WILLAMS	GAITHGREBURG, MD 20877	301 963 9779 FAX
		RSIDIKE CONKIEY WILLIAMS, COR
Jim Blockston	S501 Rondolph Road	301-881-5120
John C Grimbing Co	S501 Rondolph Road Rockuille MD 20852	301-881-4938 FAX
9		Bhockston @ Grandlers. a
RICK KENNEDY	3321 75TH ST STEG	301.773.3450
MELJECH CORP (ELECTRICAL)	LANDOVER MD	301-773 · 4242 - FAX
Ba, SDB, WOMAN OWNED	20185	rkennedy omeltechcorp.com
ARRY ZUBAUK	7006-C	703-354-4500
උපප්	Little River Toke.	703-256-3956
	ANNANDALE, VA. 22003	leubark @cssys. com
DASCAL PITTINGO /DOSI	1707 L STREET N.W.	202 872 88A
,	WASH. 174 20036	AZ45
		PRITTHEN @ DEIA.COM
JOHN B. WINTERS	804 WEST DIAMOND AVE	I WINTERS PHESCONSTRUCTIONSON
14695 CONSTEUCTION	GATTHERSBURY, MO 20878	301-519-1721
	, ,,	301-252-6669
DAVID W FORTUNE	6900 GEORGIA AVE	202 356 0037
USAMEPA (HPPO-NE)	Wasthnaton DC	david fortune na . amodd orung .
Wilbert Zuelen	6900 Georgia Are	202 782 6515
Wilbert Zuylen DEWFT/MPB - WRAMC	wash. O.e.	Wilbert. ZUYLEN @ na. amedd. army.
	Rom Cooz - Bldg1	7
Jon Sacler Baltiniere District	10 S. Howard Street	410 962 2616
211 - 1 - 1	Baltemore, MD	

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

US Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District

SUBJECT W912DR-05-R-0050 DB MATC

INSTALLATION WRAMC

DATE 08 Nov 05 PAGE 2 of 3

NAME AND ORGANIZATION	OFFICE AND LOCATION (CITY, STATE, ZIP)	TELEPHONE, FAX AND E-MAIL ADDRESS
Greg Kroneberger	4600 Powder MillEd	301-931-3600 - 210
Atlantic Corporate Interior	Belts Ville, Md 20705	gkroneberger 2
THOMS ANGLIA	1001 GST NW	202 654 9313
EUGIBE BRIKO	WASH DC	TOH ANGUNE ENERGE
nance marx	1000	202.65X-9357
Nance Marx 21lerbe Bolcet		poncy-marx a ellerbe becket, com
Joe Franz	3865 Wikon Blud Suite 300	
Turner Construction	Aclington, VA 2203	703-841-5209
Bob Nilsson	/ / /	j Kran ZB tico, com
Turner Construction	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	410-643-2786
AL Jaber / PE-Structural	13 Firstfield Ad , suite 200	201-258-0933
Restl Designers Inc	Gaithers burg MD 20878	a Jaber @ Restl. com
Bruce Nobarien	3836 16Th street muss.	202-332-0186-EXIS
Montage me.	suite ACSO WD 20010	Bruce@montaquixc.co
CHARLIK NLIGENT	14 5 5 CMMIT ST	301-963-5000
COAKLEY WILLBAMS	GAITHERSBURG	301-963-9719 FAX
L ADAMARAMAS	1525 18th STREET NW	
KOSTA ADAMOPOULOS ALPHATEC DC	WASHINGTON DC, 20036	202-797-5003 E
namico	0 10111110g 519 17.0 0055 C	KNA QALPHATERPC.COM
,		
		,

ATTENDANCE REGISTER

US Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District

SUBJECT W912DR-05-R-0050 DB MATC INSTALLATION WRAMC

DATE 08 Nov 05 PAGE 3 OF 3

NAME AND ORGANIZATION	OFFICE AND LOCATION (CITY, STATE, ZIP)	TELEPHONE, FAX AND E-MAIL ADDRESS
EANK BENVENGA	10 S. Howard ST	4109422340(L) 4109776733(C
PROJ MUGR	BALTO MO 21201	4109623966(F)
USACE, BALTO		Frank. C. Benvenga ausage army, mi
BRUCE WARE	10 S. HOWARD ST	4109623217 (L)
SIST C, CONSTR DIV	BALTO MD 21201	4109623966 (F)
USACE, BALTO		Bruce . Ware a usace . army. mil